

The Honorable John H. Chun

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAVID MCKEAN,

Defendants.

No. CR23-154 JHC

ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS
DEADLINE AND EXCLUDING
TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY
TRIAL ACT

This matter comes before the Court on the unopposed motion to continue the trial and pretrial motions deadline dates, which the Court has considered.

The Court, having reviewed the record and files in this case and after a consideration of all relevant information and the circumstances of this case, finds that the ends of justice will best be served by continuing the trial date to June 3, 2024. The ends of justice outweigh the best interests of the public and Defendant in having the matter brought to trial sooner. Any and all period of delay resulting from this continuance, from the date of this Order to the date of the rescheduled trial, shall be excludable time pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

1 In support of this order, the Court incorporates the facts and circumstances
2 described in the unopposed motion as findings of fact.

3 The COURT therefore FINDS that the ends of justice will best be served by a
4 continuance and outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy
5 trial, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A);

6 The COURT further FINDS that a failure to grant a continuance of the trial date
7 would result in a miscarriage of justice because the defendant would be denied the
8 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of
9 due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv);

10 The COURT further FINDS that the charges are serious and carry potential
11 substantial imprisonment penalties and the case is of sufficient complexity due to the
12 volume of discovery provided and to be provided, that it is unreasonable to expect
13 adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself by the dates currently set,
14 within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii); and

15 The COURT further FINDS that, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and
16 (h)(7)(B)(iv), the period of delay is reasonable.

17 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the unopposed motion is GRANTED. Trial
18 shall be continued to June 3, 2024 and that the period of time from the date of the filing
19 of the motion until the new trial date of June 3, 2024, is excluded time pursuant to the
20 Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 et seq., for purposes of computation of the time
21 limitations imposed by the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161
22 through 3164.

1 Additionally, IT IS ORDERED that the pretrial motions deadline is reset to April
2 25, 2024.

3
4 
5 _____
6 JOHN H. CHUN
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25